

Martingale Convergence

Will Perkins

March 27, 2013

Recall that a sequence of random variables X_n is a Martingale with respect to a filtration $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \cdots$ if:

- 1 $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$
- 2 $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = X_{n-1}$

Sub- and Super-martingales

A submartingale is a sequence of rv's so that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \geq X_{n-1}$$

A supermartingale is a sequence of rv's so that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leq X_{n-1}$$

A martingale is both a submartingale and a supermartingale.

Stopping Times

An integer-valued random variable N is a *stopping time* with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}_n if the event

$$\{N = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

Examples:

- Let N be the first time $S_n \geq 10$.
- $N = 4$ (a constant)
- Not a stopping time: N is the *last* time $S_n = 0$.

Theorem

If N is a stopping time and S_n is a martingale, then $S_{n \wedge N}$ is a martingale.

Proof:

Definition

A sequence $\{H_n\}$ is a predictable sequence with respect to a filtration \mathcal{F}_n if

$$H_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$$

for all n .

Back to the gambling, think of H_n as the amount the gambler bets on the n th roll of the dice. It can depend on all that has happened up til step n , but it cannot depend on the n th flip or anything in the future.

Predictable sequences and Martingales are the building blocks of integrals in stochastic calculus.

Define

$$(H \cdot S)_n = \sum_{j=1}^n H_j (S_j - S_{j-1})$$

(In the gambling example, this is the amount of money the gambler has won by time n). Note that $(H \cdot S)_n$ is a random variable.

Fact: If S_n is a Martingale and H_n is a predictable sequence, then $(H \cdot S)_n$ is a Martingale.

Proof: ?

Doob's Upcrossing Inequality

Let S_n be a stochastic process and pick two numbers $a < b$. The number of upcrossings $U(a, b)$ of S_n is the number of times the process goes from being at or below a to at or above b .

[Draw an example]

Between any two upcrossings is a down crossing, and vice-versa.

Lemma

If for every $a < b$, $U(a, b) < \infty$, then S_n converges to a limit.

Doob's Upcrossing Inequality

Counting Upcrossings with Stopping Times:
Define two sets of interlaced stopping times:

$$s_k = \inf\{n > t_{k-1} : S_n \leq a\}$$

$$t_k = \inf\{n > s_k : S_n \geq b\}$$

and set $t_0 = 0$.

Then the number of upcrossings up to step N is the largest k so that $t_k \leq N$.

Doob's Upcrossing Inequality

Let

$$Y_n = \mathbf{1}_{s_k \leq n \leq t_k} \text{ for some } k$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Y \cdot S)_n &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (S_{t_j \wedge n} - S_{s_j \wedge n}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^K (S_{t_j} - S_{s_j}) + (X_n - X_{s_K}) \\ &\geq K(b - a) - \max(a - X_n, 0)\end{aligned}$$

where K is the number of (a, b) upcrossings up to time n .

Theorem (Upcrossing Inequality)

$$(b - a)\mathbb{E}[U(a, b)] \leq \sup_n \mathbb{E}[(a - X_n)^+]$$

Almost Sure Convergence

Theorem

Let X_n be a martingale with $\mathbb{E}X_n^+ < M$ for all n . Then there exists a random variable X with $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ so that

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ almost surely}$$

Proof: Use the Upcrossing Inequality with $-X_n$ (also a Martingale) to see that for any (a, b) ,

$$\mathbb{E}U(a, b) < \infty$$

And so with probability 1, the number of a, b upcrossings is finite. Now take a countable union over all rational $a < b$ to get the conclusion.

For the expectation, use Fatou's Lemma.

Almost Sure Convergence

Corollary

Let $X_n \geq 0$ be a Martingale. Then $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.

Example 1

Let M_n be a SSRW stopped when $S_n = 10$. Show that M_n converges almost surely and to what.

What is $\mathbb{E}M_n$?

Example 2

Consider a Galton-Watson branching process with mean offspring size μ . Let $W_n = \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n}$. Show that $W_n \rightarrow W$ almost surely.