

# Poisson Convergence

Will Perkins

February 28, 2013

## Back to the Birthday Problem

On HW # 2, you computed the expectation and variance of the number of pairs of people with the same birthday in a room of  $n$  people.

$$\mathbb{E}Z = \binom{n}{2} \frac{1}{365}$$

$$\text{var}(Z) = \binom{n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{365} - \frac{1}{365^2} \right)$$

If you compute these, you'll see that they are close together.  $Z$  is also a counting random variable, i.e. a non-negative integer. Another way to look at it is that  $Z$  is the number of (nearly independent) 'rare' events that occur.

## Back to the Birthday Problem

In these cases we would like to say that  $Z$  is nearly a Poisson random variable with mean  $\mathbb{E}Z$ .

In this case, we get a very good approximation by assuming  $Z$  is Poisson.

$$\Pr[\text{Pois}\left(\binom{23}{2} \frac{1}{365}\right) \geq 1] = .500002$$

vs

$$\Pr[Z \geq 1] = .507297$$

# The Law of Small Numbers

A good general rule is:

If  $X$  is the number of a large collection of potential rare and nearly independent events that occur, then

$$X \approx \text{Pois}(\mathbb{E}X)$$

and in particular,  $\Pr[X = 0] \sim e^{-\mathbb{E}X}$ .

But when does this hold?

# The Method of Moments

Strange Fact: Two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  can have the same moments:  $\mathbb{E}X^k = \mathbb{E}Y^k$  for all  $k$ , yet have different distributions. However, certain distributions are *determined by their moments*. I.e. they are the only distributions with that sequence of moments. Examples including Normal and Poisson distributions.

If  $X$  is a distribution determined by its moments, then if

$$\mathbb{E}X_n^k \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^k$$

for all  $k$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .

# Poisson Convergence

Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be a sequence of 'Bad' events. Let  $X_i$  be the indicator RV of  $B_i$  and let  $X = \sum X_i$  be the number of bad events that occur.

- Suppose that  $\mathbb{E}X \rightarrow \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Suppose that for all constant  $r$ ,

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \Pr[B_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{i_r}] \rightarrow \frac{\mu^r}{r!}$$

Then  $X \rightarrow \text{Pois}(\mu)$ , and in particular,  $\Pr[X = k] \rightarrow e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!}$ .

Bonferroni Inequalities: the Inclusion/Exclusion probabilities are alternatingly over and under-estimates.

$$\Pr[X = 0] \leq 1 - \sum_i \Pr[B_i] + \sum_{i,j} \Pr[B_i \wedge B_j] - \dots + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \Pr[B_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge B_{i_r}]$$

where  $r$  is even.

Similarly,

$$\Pr[X = 0] \geq 1 - \sum_i \Pr[B_i] + \sum_{i,j} \Pr[B_i \wedge B_j] - \dots - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \Pr[B_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge B_{i_r}]$$

where  $r$  is odd.

Fix an  $\epsilon$ . Using Taylor series you can show that for large enough  $R$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^R (-1)^r \frac{\mu^r}{r!} - e^{-\mu} \right| < \epsilon$$

Now apply Bonferroni's Inequalities, and let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  so that

$$\left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \Pr[B_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{i_r}] - \frac{\mu^r}{r!} \right| < \epsilon$$

for  $r < R$ .



# Examples

- $n$  people give their hats to a hat check but the hats are returned at random. Show that the number of people who get their own hat back is approximately Poisson.
- Apply the method to the Birthday Problem.
- Consider a random graph  $G(n, p)$  with  $p = \frac{\log n + c}{n}$ . Show that the number of isolated vertices follows a Poisson distribution.

# Dependency Graphs

Sometimes the situation is much more complicated and it's difficult to compute the above probabilities.

A *Dependency Graph* is a set of nodes and edges where:

- The nodes represent events  $B_i$
- A node  $B_i$  is connected to another node  $B_j$  if  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  are dependent.
- The neighborhood of a node is the set of all events  $B_i$  depends on.

# Dependency Graphs

Define:

- $p_i = \Pr[B_i]$
- $\mu = \sum_i p_i$
- $\Theta_1 = \sum_i \sum_{j \in N(i)} p_i p_j$
- $\Theta_2 = \sum_i \sum_{j \in N(i), j \neq i} p_{ij}$  where  $p_{ij} = \Pr[B_i \wedge B_j]$
- (If events are not strictly independent outside neighborhood, we could define at  $\Theta_3$  measuring this)

# Total Variation Distance

Before we state the theorem, we need a definition.

## Definition

The Total Variation Distance between two probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$  on the same  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is defined to be

$$\|P - Q\|_{TV} = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |P(A) - Q(A)|$$

For two discrete probability measures, this is equivalent to:

$$\|P - Q\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} |P(x) - Q(x)|$$

## Theorem

*For a set of events  $B_i$ , with dependency graph and  $\mu, \Theta_1, \Theta_2$  defined as above, let  $Z \sim \text{Pois}(\mu)$ . Then*

$$\|X - Z\|_{TV} \leq 2(\Theta_1 + \Theta_2)$$